



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,313	02/12/2002	Takeo Kanade	010132	6433
26285	7590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
	ICK & LOCKHART LI	CZEKAJ, DAVID J		
535 SMITHFIELD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2613	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estersons of time may be a residuals under the previsions of 3 CPR 1.135(a). In ore rent, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is fees than thiny (30) days, a reply within the studiory minimum of thiny (30) days will be corecided timely. If the period for reply specified above is fees than thiny (30) days, a reply within the studiory minimum of thiny (30) days will be corecided timely. If the period for reply specified above is fees than thiny (30) days, a reply within the studiory minimum of thiny (30) MONTHS in the studiory minimum of the studiory minimum of thiny (30) MONTHS in the studiory minimum of thiny (30) MONTHS in the studiory minimum of thiny (30) MONTHS in the studiory minimum of the studiory minim		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Davo Czeka] Davo Czeka] 2513 Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statisticy withing the statistics with the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statistics with the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statistics with the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statistics with the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply rectived by the Office later than there months after the mediate and statistics and statistics and statistics. Also reply received by the Office later than there months after the mediate and statistics and statistics. Also reply received by the Office later than there months after the mediate and statistics. Also reply reduced any statistics are produced as a statistic of the communication, and statistics. Also reply reduced any statistics. Also reply reduced any statistics. Also reply reduced the produced and statistics. Also reply reduced any statistics. Also reduced any statistics. Also reply reduced any statistics. Also reduced any statistics. Also reduced any statistics. Also reply re		10/074,313	KANADE ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the over sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Escasions of time may be available under the provisions of SPR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled and its \$2.5 (t) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. I structure of the provision of SPR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filled and reply to specified show, the maximum strictory period will apply and will apply the specified show, the maximum strictory period will apply and will apply 68 (t) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. I not provide the provision of the communication, even if through find, may reduce they evened patent term adjustment. Set 37 CPR 1.74(d). **Esponsive to communication(s) filed on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extension of the provision of the provisions of the provisions of the studiety provision of the provision of the provision of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any secure of provision of the provision of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any secure of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any secure of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any secure of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any secure of the mailing date of this communication. - Status - This action is FINAL.								
THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estanciano of time may be ovaluable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SSI (b) MONTHS from the making date of this communication, reply within the datalizery intimum, of timiny (20) days will be considered timely. **END entired for reply is specified above, the maximum studies, period will apply and will explice (b) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. **Falluce to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by subtact, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133). **Avreptly received by the Office the shar from enotine after the making date of this communication. **Falluce to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by subtact, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133). **Avreptly received by the Office the shar from romain after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any subtact that the set of the second provided in the second p	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or dectaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) Notice of International Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) International Contents and Patent Application (PTO-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12] ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	····•*						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	,—						
Application of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>is/are</u> withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) <u>are</u> subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2002</u> is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ All Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) Paper No(s)Mail Date	closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(5)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Terlsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-53 is/are pending in the application.	,						
6) Claim(s) 7-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)								
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. Paper No(s)Mail Date. Paper No(s)Mail Date. Paper No(s)Mail Date. Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	r election requirement						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Total Review Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	• •							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
2/ ☐ Notice of Dransperson's Fatent Brawing Neview (1-0-0-16) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 3, 14, 15, 52, and 53 objected to because of the following informalities:
 Regarding claims 3, and 14-15, the examiner understood "positing" to be
 "positioning".

Regarding claims 52 and 53, the examiner notes that the computer readable medium found in the preamble cannot be found in the corresponding independent claim 1. The examiner notes that claims 52 and 53 should depend from claim 44.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 16, 19, 30, 35, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conley (2001/0028399).

Regarding claims 1, 16, 30, and 44, Conley discloses an apparatus that relates to the production of new kinds of visual and aural effects for display in video (Conley: paragraph 0001). This apparatus comprises "positioning a plurality of camera systems relative to a scene such that the camera systems define a gross trajectory" (Conley: figure 1B, wherein the cameras define a

Art Unit: 2613

trajectory with the diver), "displaying the transformed images in sequence" (Conley: paragraph 0016, lines 62-67, wherein the images are displayed). Although Conley fails to transform the images to superimpose a secondary induced motion, Conley does transform the images to superimpose a rotational effect (Conley: paragraph 0065, lines 52-60). The examiner notes that a rotational effect could be classified as induced motion. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the induced motion in order to make the display more appealing to the user/viewer.

Regarding claims 2-4, Conley discloses "positioning a plurality of pan/tilt and static camera systems relative to the scene" (Conley: figure 2, paragraph 0020, paragraph 0028).

Regarding claims 5, 19, 35, and 45, Conley discloses "applying a 2D transformation to the images" (Conley: paragraph 0029, wherein the transformation is the array attribute adjustments).

4. Claims 6-15, 17-18, 20-29, 31-34, 36-43, and 46-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conley (2001/0028399) in view of Shashua (6094198).

Regarding claims 6, 20, 36, and 46, note the examiners rejection for claims 1, 16, 30, and 44, and in addition, claims 6, 20, 26, and 46, differ from claims 1, 16, 30, and 44 in that claims 6, 20, 36, and 46 further require applying a 2D image transformation according to a homography defined by a one point

Art Unit: 2613

correspondence. Shashua teaches that prior art reconstruction methods lead to significant error in reconstruction and are quite sensitive to initial approximations (Shashua: column 2, lines 27-53). To help alleviate this problem, Shashua discloses a "2D image transformation according to a homography defined by a one point correspondence" (Shashua: column 3, lines 3-7). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Conley and add the homographies taught by Shashua in order to obtain an apparatus that makes the display for appealing to viewers by providing a more efficient reconstruction method.

Regarding claims 7, 21, 37, and 47, Shashua discloses "the 2D image transformation includes mapping a point of interest in each image to the center of the image" (Shashua: figure 1, wherein the point of interest is the object, column 23, lines 1-5, wherein the center point is used in the reconstruction process).

Regarding claims 8, 10, 24, 26, 40, 42, 48, and 50, Shashua discloses "applying a 2D image transformation according to a homography defined by a two and three point correspondence between the images" (Shashua: column 4, lines 20-31, column 5, lines 44-67, wherein two and three point correspondences are used in the homographies).

Regarding claims 9, 11, 25, 27, 41, 43, 49, and 51, Shashua discloses "mapping a translation point in each image to the center of the image" (Shashua: figure 2, column 4, lines 28-31, wherein the translation points are p_0 and p_0 '), "mapping appoint of interest in each image to the translation point" (Shashua:

Art Unit: 2613

figure 1, wherein the point of interest is the object), and "mapping a vertical unit point in each image to a point at a predetermined vertical relationship to the translation point" (Shashua: figure 2, column 4, lines 20-65, wherein the vertical unit point is the point P', wherein the coordinates of point P' (x', y', 1) define a vertical relationship with the other points on the image).

Regarding claims 12, 28, 33, and 52, Conley discloses "generating an image corresponding to an image from a virtual camera system positioned along the trajectory between first and second camera systems" (Conley: figures 1B and 2, wherein a plurality of camera systems are shown) and "displaying the image between display of the transformed image from the first camera system and display of the transformed image from the second camera system" (Conley: paragraph 0016, lines 62-67, wherein the images are displayed).

Regarding claims 13, 29, and 53, Conley discloses "generating an image corresponding to an image from a virtual camera system having a rotation and translation interpolated from a rotation and translation of the first and second camera systems" (Conley: figures 1B and 2, wherein the camera systems and virtual cameras are shown, paragraph 0065, wherein the interpolated rotation and translation is the interpolation of images between camera locations).

Regarding claim 14, Conley discloses "positioning the plurality of camera systems relative to the scene includes positioning the systems in a close-ended configuration" (Conley: figure 1B, wherein the close ended configure is the "loop" or circle of cameras).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 15, Conley discloses "positioning the camera systems in an array configuration" (Conley: paragraph 0032, wherein the array configuration is the camera array).

Regarding claims 17 and 31, Conley discloses "the camera systems are simultaneously aimed at the target within the scene and a size of the target is substantially the same" (Conley: paragraph 0032, wherein the cameras are aimed at the target, wherein the target is the diver).

Regarding claims 18 and 32, Conley discloses "outputting the images in sequence corresponding to the position of the camera systems along the trajectory" (Conley: paragraph 0032, wherein the image sequence is displayed).

Regarding claims 22-23 and 38-39, Shashua discloses "the point of interest is/is not a point of the target" (Shashua: column 3, lines 46-49, wherein only some aspects of the object or point of interest are reconstructed).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US-5912700	06-1999	Honey et al.
US-6608923	08-2003	Zhang et al.
US-5489886	02-1996	Wexler et al.
US-5714997	02-1998	Anderson, David P.
US-6100925	08-2000	Rosser et al.
US-6157747	12-2000	Szeliski et al.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/074,313

Art Unit: 2613

US-6259853

07-2001

Chen et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Czekaj whose telephone number is (703) 305-3418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRIS KELLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

2 Weller

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600